

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,756	03/03/2004	Laure Seguin	249572US2	2905	
OBLON, SPIN	7590 05/08/200 /AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET			HO, HUY C		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2617			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/08/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/790,756	SEGUIN, LAURE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HUY C. HO	2617		

	HUY C. HO	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office start may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c</li> </ul>			ne issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•					
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	il and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:				
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>Other:</li> </ol>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Duc Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argued features, i.e., Method for channel allocation in an ad-hoc radio communication system comprising devices having an equivalent communication architecture, the devices being gathered in several piconets, the devices of a same piconet being able to directly communicate with one another, a piconet coordinator (RNC) being defined among the devices forming each piconet, the radio communication between the devices being based on Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA), where each new device scans its radio environment looking for at least one used subset of CDMA codes (Ci) high is associated with an existing piconet, making the new device a piconet coordinator (RNC) of a new piconet and selecting a subset of CDMA codes (Ci) for use in the new piconet if no used subset or subsets of CDMA codes (Ci) are found by the scanning, or loging the new device into an existing piconet among a set of available piconets found by the scanning to be using an existing subset of CDMA codes (Ci), and using said existing subset of CDMA codes (Ci) are dupon the device of the rest or produced to the contraction of the contract

Heberling discusses method and system for providing channel quality determination in an ultrawide bandwidth local network. where Heberling particularly discloses a wireless personal area network WPAN, or piconet network or plurality of piconets (see section [661) that includes non-coordinator devices and a coordinator device which serves to coordinate the operation of the piconet, the coordinator device sends a beacon signal through out the network to all non-coordinator devices, determines channel quality, informs non-coordinator devices of chosen channels, where the channels are defined in sets or subsets of CDMA codes (see sections [54]-[60], [73]). Heberling discusses about new nodes joining the piconet (see section [22]), by making requests to the coordinator device, thus Heberling discloses Method for channel allocation in an ad-hoc radio communication system comprising devices having an equivalent communication architecture, the devices being gathered in several piconets, the devices of a same piconet being able to directly communicate with one another, a piconet coordinator (PNC) being defined among the devices forming each piconet, the radio communication between the devices being based on Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA), where each new device scans its radio environment looking for at least one used subset of CDMA codes (Ci) which is associated with an existing piconet, selecting a subset of CDMA codes (Ci) for use in the new piconet if no used subset or subsets of CDMA codes (Ci) are found by the scanning, or joining the new device into an existing piconet among a set of available piconets found by the scanning to be using an existing subset of CDMA codes (Ci), and using said existing subset of CDMA codes (Ci) for the next communications between the new device and the other devices of the existing piconet that is joined. Heberling does not specifically show making the new device a piconet coordinator (PNC) of a new piconet, but it is very noticeable Heberling discusses process of channel determination where the satisfaction of channels is monitored and determined for communication (see sections [66]-[68]). Johansson discusses efficient scatternet forming method and system, where a new node try to join a network by sending a page message comprising device access code and some other parameters to other nodes for connection, and the paging device can form a new piconet with its role as a new master node (see sections [17]-[18]), thus Johansson discloses making the new device a piconet coordinator (PNC) of a new piconet.

Since Heberling and Johansson teach ad noc network and method and system of channel allocation, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify teachings of Heberling, and have making the new device a piconet coordinator (PNC) of a new piconet taught by Johansson, to improve the system discussed by Heberling.

As a result, the argued features were written such that they read upon the cited references.